**From:** Scott Kreiter
**To:** Bob Clubb, Ph.D.; Camille Pleasants; Chuck James; David Turner (david.turner@ferc.gov); Frank Winchell; Glenn Hartmann (glenn@crcwa.com); Gordon Brett; Guy Moura; John Devine; Karen Kelleher; Margaret Berger (margaret@crcwa.com); Mary Mayo; Richard Bailey; Rob Whitlam; Robert Easton; Scott Kreiter; Shane Bickford; Timothy Bachelder;
**Subject:** Wells Relicensing: Cultural Resources Work Group site visit notes
**Date:** Monday, November 03, 2008 4:05:22 PM
**Attachments:** Cultural_RWG_Field_Visit_100908.pdf

---

Wells Cultural RWG members:

Please find attached the notes from the October 9 site visit. Please contact me if you have any comments on the notes.

Thank you.
-Scott

Scott Kreiter
Douglas County PUD
509-881-2327

# ---Field Visit Notes---

# Cultural Resource Work Group

Wells Hydroelectric Project Relicensing
Douglas County PUD
October 9, 2008

**Meeting Coordinator:** **Scott Kreiter (509) 881-2327**

**Meeting Objective:** **To visit priority archaeological sites to begin development of protection/treatment measures.**

In attendance were:
Guy Moura (Colville Confederated Tribes)
Brent Martinez (Colville Confederated Tribes)
Bob Clubb (Douglas PUD)
Scott Kreiter (Douglas PUD)
Glenn Hartmann (Cultural Resource Consultants)

Field Visit
The work group members left the Brewster boat ramp at 9AM and visited the following sites.

**Wells 2008-35**: Water levels were too high to see features. CRWG members agreed that testing would be appropriate. At present there is too little information to support NRHP eligibility.

**45OK520**: Site impacts were minimal. CRWG members were unsure of what was meant by root intrusion at the site. The CRWG agreed that continued triennial monitoring is appropriate here.

**45OK520**: Some evidence of eroding archaeological deposits at the site. Previous investigations provide insufficient information regarding NRHP eligibility. Additional testing recommended here prior to bank stabilization.

**Wells 2007-20**: Some archaeological materials lagged out on the beach. Testing of the site is recommended prior to determining a monitoring schedule.

**45OK527**: Some fire-cracked rock observed on the beach. Erosion not considered active at the site. Site should be monitored prior to committing to bank stabilization.

**45OK63**: Minimal evidence of active erosion and archaeological materials. Triennial monitoring seems appropriate at this site.

**45OK135**: The site is located above the river. Triennial monitoring is appropriate for this site, as Project-related impacts are minimal at most.

**45OK521 and 45OK132**: Very little to see in terms of archaeological deposits at either site. Triennial monitoring is appropriate at these sites.

**Wells 2008-30**: This site is located in a place where Project effects should be minimal. The site includes what may be placer mining features. It should be determined whether the site articulates with the historical record prior to conducting measures beyond triennial monitoring.

**45OK53**: The CRWG members agreed that some measures to stabilize the site would be appropriate, such as filter cloth and protection of the trees from beavers. Additional materials were observed on the surface and removed from the site. Annual monitoring is appropriate at this site.

Actions / Next Steps: Douglas PUD will visit the remaining priority sites and develop a treatment plan for the CRWG to review. Douglas PUD will visit the rest of the sites during the month of November.

<u>Items of disagreement</u>
None.

<u>Next Meeting</u>
The next meeting will be scheduled after a draft treatment plan is sent out for review.