
Subject: FW: Wells Relicensing: Terrestrial RWG Meeting Notes

-----Original Message-----

From: Scott Kreiter
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 1:42 PM
To: 'Dan_Trochta@fws.gov'
Cc: Shane Bickford; Mary Mayo; Beau Patterson; Jim McGee
Subject: RE: Wells Relicensing: Terrestrial RWG Meeting Notes

Dan,

Thank you for your phone call today to follow up on this. This email is just a quick summary of our conversation to finish out the record. Please reply if I misrepresent anything here.

We agreed on the following:

1. The EA (Exhibit E) is an appropriate place to analyze collision/electrocution potential.
2. The License Application or the appropriate management plan should include discussion of collision/electrocution for any new Wells Project transmission lines.
3. The Transmission Line Wildlife and Botanical report literature review section should discuss recommended specifications in: Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006, including a basic description of the existing 230kV line.

Thanks.
-Scott

-----Original Message-----

From: Scott Kreiter
Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2008 3:34 PM
To: 'Dan_Trochta@fws.gov'
Cc: Shane Bickford; Mary Mayo
Subject: RE: Wells Relicensing: Terrestrial RWG Meeting Notes

Dan,

Thank you for your comment. The issue you raise here may be more appropriately addressed in the Exhibit E - Environmental Analysis, of the license application (i.e. the EA).

Within the scope of the study plan, Parametrix looked for evidence of collisions, but was not asked to analyze collision/electrocution potential. However, Parametrix will include brief discussion on this issue in the literature review section of the report, including discussion of electrocution/collision potential as described in Douglas PUD's Avian Protection Plan. But the study plan did not include objectives for analyzing collision/electrocution potential.

Considering this, would it be acceptable to you if we defer this analysis to the EA? Please feel free to contact me and/or Shane if you would like to discuss.

Thanks.
-Scott