
From: Scott Kreiter
Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2008 2:02 PM
To: Scott Kreiter; Bob Clubb; 'Camille Pleasants'; 'Chuck James'; David Turner (david.turner@ferc.gov); 'Frank Winchell'; Glenn Hartmann (glenn@crcwa.com); Gordon Brett; 'Guy Moura'; 'John Devine'; 'Karen Kelleher'; Margaret Berger (margaret@crcwa.com); Mary Mayo; 'Richard Bailey'; 'Rob Whitlam'; 'Robert Easton'; Shane Bickford; 'Timothy Bachelder'
Subject: Wells Relicensing: Cultural RWG Final meeting notes
Attachments: Wells_Cultural_RWG_Notes_090308 (final).pdf

Cultural RWG members:

Please find attached the final meeting notes from the September 3 meeting. No comments were received on the draft.

Thank you.
-Scott

From: Scott Kreiter
Sent: Wednesday, September 10, 2008 4:55 PM
To: Bob Clubb; Camille Pleasants; Chuck James; David Turner (david.turner@ferc.gov); Frank Winchell; Glenn Hartmann (glenn@crcwa.com); Gordon Brett; Guy Moura; John Devine; Karen Kelleher; Margaret Berger (margaret@crcwa.com); Mary Mayo; Richard Bailey; Rob Whitlam; Robert Easton; Scott Kreiter; Shane Bickford; Timothy Bachelder
Subject: Wells Relicensing: Cultural RWG draft meeting notes

Cultural RWG members:

Please find attached the draft meeting notes from the September 3 meeting. Please provide any comments by September 17.
Don't forget to mark your calendars for our next meeting scheduled for October 9, 9AM – Noon.

Thanks.
-Scott

Scott Kreiter
Douglas County PUD
509-881-2327

Final Meeting Notes

Cultural Resource Work Group

Wells Hydroelectric Project Relicensing
Douglas County PUD
September 3, 2008

Meeting Coordinator: Scott Kreiter (509) 881-2327

Meeting Objective: To 1) comment on the draft Cultural Resources Site Revisit and Intensive Archaeological Survey report and; 2) discuss the revised draft Historic Properties Management Plan

Draft Site Revisit and Survey Report

The workgroup members provided comments on the draft Site Revisit and Survey report. The following issues were discussed:

- A summary table should be added summarizing the total number of sites, site type, eligibility recommendation, etc.
- The RWG agreed that no additional studies are needed as part of the ILP, and that further discussions should focus on management measures through development of the HPMP.

Action: The CCT will make edits to the document based upon comments and feedback received during the meeting. The CCT will then submit the report to Douglas PUD as a final document.

HPMP

The workgroup members provided comments on the draft HPMP. Major comments included:

- Adding an author to the document;
- Revise the summary of the Site Revisit and Intensive Survey (page 7);
- Clarify that the HPMP Coordinator will make decisions regarding whether an action is a ground disturbing activity (page 8);
- Add an appendix for categorical exclusions;
- Add language for hazardous waste training requirements (page 12);
- Add language regarding evaluation of the dam when it reaches age 50 (page 13);
- Add language regarding informal dispute resolution (page 13).

Additional comments will be reflected in the next draft of the HPMP.

Action: Douglas PUD will revise the HPMP and send it to the CRWG for review prior to the next meeting.

Action: The RWG members will review site forms for the 40 priority sites prior to the next meeting. Douglas PUD will send a list of sites and forms.

Action: Douglas PUD will prepare a description of how cultural resources will continue to be managed during the remainder of the current license term.

Items of agreement

The Cultural RWG agreed that no further ILP studies are required to address cultural resources. The focus of the group will now turn to development of site-specific management measures through development of the HPMP.

Items of disagreement

None.

Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for October 9 from 9AM - Noon.