

---

**From:** Scott Kreiter  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 24, 2008 11:52 AM  
**To:** Bob Clubb; Camille Pleasants; Chuck James; David Turner (david.turner@ferc.gov); Frank Winchell; Glenn Hartmann (glenn@crcwa.com); Gordon Brett; Guy Moura; John Devine; Karen Kelleher; Margaret Berger (margaret@crcwa.com); Mary Mayo; Richard Bailey; Rob Whitlam; Robert Easton; Scott Kreiter; Shane Bickford; Timothy Bachelder  
**Subject:** Wells Relicensing: Cultural RWG draft meeting notes  
**Attachments:** Wells\_Cultural\_RWG\_Notes\_071708.pdf

Cultural RWG members:

Please find attached the draft meeting notes from the July 17 meeting. Please provide any comments by July 31. Don't forget to mark your calendars for our next meeting scheduled for September 3, 9AM – Noon.

We're making good progress! Thanks.

-Scott

Scott Kreiter  
Douglas County PUD  
509-881-2327

# Draft Meeting Notes

## Cultural Resource Work Group

Wells Hydroelectric Project Relicensing  
Douglas County PUD  
July 17, 2008

**Meeting Coordinator:** Scott Kreiter (509) 881-2327

**Meeting Objective:** To discuss the draft Traditional Cultural Property report, the Site Revisit and Archaeological Survey report and the draft Historic Properties Management Plan.

### Section 106 Timeline

Douglas PUD provided an update on the Section 106 process. The timeline was revised to reflect the submittal of the draft Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP) study report and the Site Revisit and Archaeological Survey report. Douglas PUD also provided an overview of the upcoming ILP Initial Study Report meeting scheduled for October 30, 2008.

### TCP Report

The workgroup members provided comments on the draft TCP report. The following issues were discussed:

- The issue of formal NRHP eligibility determinations versus managing TCPs on a case-by-case basis. Rob Whitlam provided an overview of current directions by the NRHP in Washington DC regarding TCP eligibility. Until clear guidance is provided by the NRHP, the DAHP will be seeking guidance from the NRHP for any TCP eligibility nominations.
- The Work Group members favored the recommended approach of managing TCPs on a case-by-case basis through consultation with the CCT History and Archaeology Program.

**Action:** The CCT will make edits to the document and submit the TCP report as a final document.

### HPMP

The workgroup members provided comments on the draft HPMP. Major comments included:

- Adding more specifics on training and qualifications for the HPMP Coordinator;
- Workgroup review of training modules and public education measures;
- Adding an annual meeting to discuss Douglas PUD's upcoming work plan;
- Describe how departments at Douglas PUD will comply with the HPMP process;
- Include detail on how different levels of ground disturbance are determined;
- Update the inadvertent discovery section;
- Flesh out the implementation schedule and include a kickoff meeting following issuance of the new license as well as schedule for periodic HPMP revisions.

Additional comments will be reflected in the next draft of the HPMP.

**Action:** Douglas PUD will revise the HPMP and send it to the CRWG for review.

**Action:** Douglas PUD will provide FERC with past cultural resource reports for their library.

Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for September 3 from 9AM - Noon.