

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Matt Monda [mailto:MONDAMJM@DFW.WA.GOV]  
Sent: Wednesday, August 15, 2007 9:09 AM  
To: Scott Kreiter; andonca@dfw.wa.gov; MONDAMJM@dfw.wa.gov  
Cc: eldredte@dfw.wa.gov  
Subject: RE: Predator control plan

Scott:  
The letter is fine with me.  
Thanks  
Matt

>>> "Scott Kreiter" <scottk@dcputd.org> 08/09/2007 9:41 AM >>>  
Matt and Carmen,

It occurred to us today that we had not sent you our phone/discussion log regarding this issue. We feel the issue is resolved based on the minor changes to the study plan. Please take a look at the attached document and let us know if this accurately represents what took place.

Thanks.  
-Scott



## Wells Project Relicensing Phone Conversation Summary

---

**Call to:** Scott Kreiter

**Call From:** Carmen Andonaegui – Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

**Date:** July 11, 2007

**Time:** 3:20 PM

**Subject:** Nuisance Wildlife Control Study

### **Summary:**

Carmen called to pass on comments from Matt Monda (WDFW) regarding the “Evaluation of the Effects of and Alternatives to the Existing Bird and Mammal Control Programs” study plan. Matt felt that the study plan should have a component which evaluates whether or not the control program is having negative effects on mammals and/or birds, especially if the species is sensitive.

In a follow-up conversation with Matt Monda (July 12), Scott Kreiter and Jim McGee explained that this issue was discussed in detail by the Terrestrial Resource Work Group (RWG), and that the group concluded that due to the many confounding factors that affect wildlife populations, during any given year, that it would be difficult to design a defensible study that would identify the effects of the control measures on statewide populations of piscivorous wildlife.

However, if it is suspected that a control measure potentially has population level effects, the work group could identify PME measures to either: A) develop alternative control measures for that species, or B) propose further studies on population effects. Douglas PUD agreed to modify the Piscivorous Wildlife Study Plan by adding a sentence into Section 6 (Methods) stating that the Terrestrial RWG will develop reasonable and effective control measures based on the results of this study and any other relevant local knowledge on each species.

Matt also expressed a concern about the phrase “nuisance wildlife” in the study plan. Douglas PUD agreed to modify the study plan by replacing the phrase “nuisance wildlife” with “piscivorous wildlife”.